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Abstract:

Deep Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN’s) based techniques have outperformed, classical computer vision algorithms on a variety of computer vision tasks like image classification, object detection, semantic segmentation, etc. Work by [1] illustrates the importance of CNN architectures on the performance of deep learning based object detectors. Hence coming up with proficient CNN architectures is essential for improving the performances of such sophisticated deep learning based computer vision techniques. The development of many state-of-the-art CNN architectures has been fostered by the competitions such as ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC)[2]. Though these network architectures are themselves capable of learning from data (images), they still need to be designed manually by a team of engineers and experts in the field. This is a highly iterative process and involves a lot of trial and error experiments. Hence arriving at an optimal network is a time-intensive endeavor.

Neural Architecture Search or NAS, in short, is a relatively new research area which intends to eliminate the involvement of human experts in this time-intensive and repetitive activity. Work by [3],[4] have shown very promising results where the machine-generated network architectures outperformed the previous human designed architectures. However one of the dissuading factors of these techniques was the use of a gargantuan amount of computational resources. From then on, the field of NAS has gained a lot of popularity. The sheer amount of publications on the topic make it difficult to distinguish a promising NAS technique from the rest.

Neural Scrutiny investigates the existing work in the field of automated neural architecture search and provides a curated list of promising NAS techniques. Practically relevant metrics like open source implementation, reasonable computational requirements, etc are used to make the distinction between promising techniques and the rest. In addition to this, it also provides an unbiased empirical performance evaluation of the 4 most promising NAS techniques according to our analysis, which are DARTS[5], DeepArchitect[6], CGP-CNN[7] and Autokeras[8]. This evaluation will be done using multiple datasets of varying difficulty, image sizes, sample sizes, color spaces, etc. The datasets shortlisted for this are CIFAR-10[9], Devanagari[10], Fashion MNIST[11], MNIST[12], STL-10[13] and SVHN[14]. The experiments that are devised for this evaluation, judge the NAS techniques based on two factors,
1. The quality of the best-found architecture.
2. The quality of the overall search process.

To provide a relative ranking between these shortlisted NAS techniques, this work makes use of many standard metrics like validation and test accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, etc. In addition to these, this work also introduces a metric called Network
Betterment Score which quantifies the quality of the overall search process. Neural Scrutiny also introduces a Fraunhofer lines style visualization technique, which can be used to better perceive the correlation between the training and validation accuracies of the different generated architectures during the search process. The result of this grueling evaluation process was that DARTS[63] beat the competition by a huge margin, this was followed by DeepArchitect[72] in second, Autokeras[47] came in third and CGP-CNN[92] was fourth.
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